

# Morphological and molecular evidence gives insight into the taxonomic position of *Peucedanum pubescens* (Apiaceae, Selineae)

Jiao-Jiao Deng<sup>1</sup>, Chang-Kun Liu<sup>1</sup>, Song-Dong Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Xing-Jin He<sup>1</sup>

I Key Laboratory of Bio-Resources and Eco-Environment of Ministry of Education, College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University, 610065, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Corresponding authors: Song-Dong Zhou (zsd@scu.edu.cn), Xing-Jin He (xjhe@scu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: Yasen Mutafchiev | Received 1 July 2022 | Accepted 19 October 2022 | Published 9 November 2022

**Citation:** Deng J-J, Liu C-K, Zhou S-D, He X-J (2022) Morphological and molecular evidence gives insight into the taxonomic position of *Peucedanum pubescens* (Apiaceae, Selineae). PhytoKeys 213: 19–33. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.213.89784

#### **Abstract**

In this study, morphological and molecular evidences were combined to determine the taxonomic position of *Peucedanum pubescens* Hand.-Mazz. Morphologically, *Peucedanum pubescens* is similar to the species of the genus *Ligusticopsis* in having fibrous remnant sheaths at the stem base, pinnate and linear coexisted bracts, strongly compressed dorsally mericarps, filiform median and lateral ribs, winged marginal ribs, numerous vittae in each furrow and commissure, but can also be easily distinguished from members of *Ligusticopsis* by its hispid fruit and linear-lanceolate bracteoles. Molecular phylogenetic analyses based on the single-copy protein-coding sequences (CDS) of plastomes and internal transcribed space (ITS) region showed that *Peucedanum pubescens* nested in the genus *Ligusticopsis*. As both morphological and molecular data supported the inclusion of *Peucedanum pubescens* within *Ligusticopsis*, the species is here transferred and the new combination, *Ligusticopsis pubescens* (Hand.-Mazz.) J.J.Deng, C.K.Liu & X.J.He, made.

#### **Keywords**

Apiaceae, Ligusticopsis pubescens, new combination, Peucedanum pubescens

#### Introduction

Peucedanum sensu lato was previously characterized by dorsally compressed mericarps with slightly prominent dorsal ribs, narrowly winged lateral ribs, as well as a broad commissure (Sheh 1992; Spalik et al. 2004; Sheh and Watson 2005). As such it was one of the largest genera of Apiaceae, comprising 100–120 species with 33 endemics to the territory of China, and with a distribution in Eurasia, South Africa, and sometimes Australia (Spalik et al. 2004; Sheh and Watson 2005; Cieśla et al. 2009). However, *Peucedanum* sensu lato has been a taxonomically confusing genus due to its great heterogeneous characteristics (Solov'eva et al. 1985; Reduron et al. 1997; Downie et al. 2000, 2010; Winter et al. 2008; Zhou et al. 2014), exhibiting a wide variety of life forms, leaf and fruit structures, and chemical compositions (Shneyer et al. 2003). Moreover, several molecular phylogenetic studies based on DNA fragments and plastomes indicated that *Peucedanum* sensu lato was not a monophyletic group (Downie et al. 2000; Spalik et al. 2004; Valiejo-Roman et al. 2006; Feng et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009, 2020; Liu et al. 2022). Consequently, the circumscription of the genus has been greatly reduced with *Peucedanum* sensu stricto, recognized by ternate leaves, linearsubulate or filiform bracteoles, one vitta in each furrow and two vittae on commissure in mericarp (Kadereit and Bittrich 2018) and several members of Peucedanum sensu lato were separated to restitute or establish genera or transfer into other genera (Reduron et al. 1997; Winter et al. 2008; Ostroumova et al. 2016; Pimenov et al. 2016; Pimenov 2017). However, the previous studies mainly focused on those species distributed in Europe and South Africa, and the taxonomic position of Chinese endemic species of this genus was still unresolved.

Ligusticopsis Leute was described by Leute in 1969 with Ligusticopsis rechingeriana Leute as its type species. The taxonomy of genus has been controversial since its establishment, due to its close morphology to Ligusticum (Zhou et al. 2008, 2009; Sun et al. 2010); e.g. Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae and Flora of China treated Ligusticopsis as the synonym of Ligusticum and "Ligusticum in the broad sense", respectively (Sheh 1992; Sheh and Watson 2005), whereas the genus was recognized by Pimenov et al. (2001, 2003). Recently, a phylogenetic study based on morphological and molecular data confirmed the monophyly of Ligusticopsis and nine "true species of Ligusticopsis" were recognized (Li et al. 2022); the members of the genus are characterized by the following diagnostic characters: stem base clothed in fibrous remnant sheaths, pinnate bracts, pinnate bracteoles longer than rays of umbellule, mericarps strongly compressed dorsally, median and lateral ribs filiform or keeled, marginal ribs winged, and numerous vittae in each furrow and commissure.

Peucedanum pubescens Hand.-Mazz. (1933: 728) was described based on a collection (E00002620) from Yunnan, China, and was an endemic species to China (Sheh and Watson 2005; Pimenov 2017). Due to dorsally compressed mericarps with slightly prominent dorsal ribs and narrowly winged lateral ribs, *P. pubescens* was recognized as a member of *Peucedanum* sensu lato (Handel-Mazzetti Heinrich 1933). However, after examination of the type specimen and protologue, field observation, and morphological and micro-morphological research into it, we found this species was characterized

by stem base clothed in fibrous remnant sheaths, pinnate leaves, linear and pinnate coexisted bracts, strongly dorsally compressed fruits, numerous vittae in each furrow and commissure, and these features are significantly similar to members of *Ligusticopsis*. To determine the taxonomic position of *Peucedanum pubescens*, we performed morphological and molecular analyses.

### Materials and methods

## Morphological observation

The morphological features of *Peucedanum pubescens* were observed in field. Then, mericarp of this species was observed and photographed using a stereomicroscope, Nikon SMZ 25 (Japan). Furthermore, morphological diagnoses of nine "true species of *Ligusticopsis*" were obtained from type specimens from K, P, E, WU, BM, GH, KUN, and HNWP, Flora of China (Sheh and Watson 2005), and analysis performed by Li et al. (2022). The Herbarium code refers to Thiers (2015).

## DNA extraction, ITS amplifying and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica-dried leaves with plant genomic DNA kit (Cwbio Biosciences, Beijing, China). The universal primers ITS4 (5'-TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC-3') and ITS5 (5'-GGA AGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG G-3', White et al. 1990) were used to amplify the entire internal transcribed sequences (ITS). Amplification was undertaken using a volume of 30  $\mu$ l with 15  $\mu$ l 2 × Taq MasterMix (CWBIO, China), 10  $\mu$ l ddH2O, 1.5  $\mu$ l forward primer, 1.5  $\mu$ l reverse primer, and 2  $\mu$ l total DNA. The amplification of the ITS region was obtained by initial denaturation for 3 min at 94 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 70 s at 54 °C, and 90 s at 72 °C, and then a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. All PCR products were separated using a 1.5% (w/v) agarose TAE gel and sent to Sangon (Shanghai, China) for sequencing.

# Plastome sequencing and assembly

The extracted total DNA was fragmented into 400 bp to construct the pair-end library, following the manufacturer's protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The DNA libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq platform at Personalbio (Shanghai, China). Quality control of the raw reads was performed using fastP v0.15.0 (-n 10 and -q 15) (Chen et al. 2018), produced at least 5GB clean reads per species. De novo genome assembly from the clean data was accomplished utilizing NOVOPlasty v2.6.2 (Dierckxsens et al. 2017), with a kmer length of 39 bp and a sequence fragment of the *rbcL* gene from *Ligusticopsis brachyloba* (Franch.) Leute (Genebank no. MN204661) as the seed sequence. The assembled complete plastome was annotated initially by using PGA (Qu et al. 2019) and then examined using Geneious v9.0.2 (Kearse et al. 2012).

## Phylogenetic analyses

To confirm the phylogenetic position of *Peucedanum pubescens*, phylogenetic trees were reconstructed based on single-copy protein-coding sequences (CDS) of 34 plastomes and 36 ITS sequences (Table 1). Chamaesium mallaeanum Farille & S. B. Malla and Chamaesium viridiflorum (Franch.) Wolff ex Shan were selected as outgroups according to the result of a previous study (Li et al. 2022). Plastome CDs and ITS sequences were respectively aligned using MAFFT v7.221 (Katoh and Standley 2013), and then manually adjusted in MEGA7.0 (Kumar et al. 2016) to obtain plastome CDs and ITS datasets. The two alignments were subjected to Maximum-Likelihood (ML) analyses and Bayesian Inference (BI). For ML analyses, the software RAxML v8.2.8 (Stamatakis 2014) was used to construct the phylogenetic trees with the GTR+G+I model and 1000 bootstrap (BS) replicates. Bayesian inference (BI) analyses were conducted by MrBayes version 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with the best-fit substitution model (GTR+G+I) determined by Modeltest v3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998). Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) search was performed for  $1 \times 10^6$  generations, sampling every 100 generations. The first 25% of trees were discarded as burn-in and the remainder was used to generate the consensus tree. Results of phylogenetic analyses were visualized and edited in FigTree v1.4.2 (Rambaut and Drummond 2015).

#### Results

# Morphological comparison

Peucedanum pubescens is similar to the species of Ligusticopsis in having fibrous remnant sheaths at the stem base (Fig. 3B), pinnate leaves (Fig. 3A, C-E), linear and pinnate coexisted bracts (Fig. 3F), strongly dorsally compressed fruits (Fig. 3G, H), numerous vittae in each furrow and commissure (Fig. 3H), but can be easily distinguished from the latter by the morphological characters shown in Table 2. In detail, *Peucedanum* pubescens is different from L. rechingeriana Leute, L. involucrata (Franch.) Lavrova and L. hispida (Franch.) Lavrova et Kljuykov in that P. pubescens has a triangular-ovate leaf blade in outline (Fig. 3C, D) (vs. oblong-ovate or lanceolate), obovate ultimate segments (Fig. 3C) (vs. ovate or linear), pinnate and linear coexist bracts (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate), linear-lanceolate bracteoles (vs. pinnate) (Fig. 3F), ovate to obovate mericarp shape (Fig. 3G) (vs. elliptic to ovate or elliptic), hispid mericarp surface (Fig. 3G, H) (vs. smooth) and 2-3 vittae in each furrow (Fig. 3H) (vs. 1-3). Peucedanum pubescens can be distinguished from L. integrifolia (H. Wolff) Leute, L. brachyloba and L. modesta (Diels) Leute in having linear-lanceolate bracteoles (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate and linear coexist or pinnate), hispid mericarp surface (Fig. 3G, H) (vs. smooth), ovate or obovate to orbicular mericarp shape (Fig. 3G) (vs. elliptic to ovate or elliptic) and 2-3 vittae in each furrow (Fig. 3H) (vs. 1-3 or 3-4 in each furrow). Peucedanum pubescens differs from L. capillacea Leute and L. scapiformis (H. Wolff) Leute in having triangular-ovate

**Table 1.** Voucher details and GenBank accession numbers of taxa used in this study. A n-dash (–) indicates unavailable information.

Species	Voucher information	Locality	GenBank accession number	
			Plastome	ITS
Angelica	13E-39-3, SZ2006071804	USA, NIH;	NC029393	EU647210
cartilaginomarginata		China, Yunnan		
Angelic decursiva	13Q-02-1; v20060825 (SZ)	Korea, Cheongju-si; China, Sichuan	KT781591	EU418375
Angelica gigas	13E-39-3; SZ744110	USA, NIH; China, Sichuan	NC029393	GU395156
Angelica laxifoliata	-; 2006071804 (SZ)	China, Sichuan; China, Yunnan	NC040122	EU647210
Angelica nitida	-; 2006080501 (SZ)	China, Qinghai; China, Sichuan	MF594405	EU418378
Bupleurum chinense	–; C.Q. Feng	China, Sichuan	MN893666	EU001334
Bupleurum commelynoideum	-; 2008082002 (SZ)	China, Sichuan	MN893666	GU269874
Chamaesium mallaeanum	–; Strain NLM	China, Sichuan; China, Xizang	MT162552	KY74426
Chamaesium viridiflorum	–; Strain HB	China, Yunnan	MN119373	KY744260
Glehnia littoralis	-; SZ666775	China, Sichuan	KU921430	GU395183
Hansenia forbesii	–; SZ666939	China, Sichuan; China, Yunnan	NC034645	GU390407
Hansenia oviformis	→; F22	China, Sichuan	MT843761	MT337430
Hansenia weberbaueriana	-; J18091701	China, Sichuan	MN049520	MN049520
Ligusticopsis brachyloba	L081401 (SZ); L0814 (SZ)	China, Chongqing	MZ491174	MZ497218
Ligusticopsis capillacea	RT2019100601 (SZ); XB	China, Yunnan	NC049051	MT974023
Ligusticopsis hispida	RT2019100301 (SZ); L08110501 (SZ)	China, Yunnan	NC049052	OL600824
Ligusticopsis integrifolia	RT2019100202 (SZ); L081003 (SZ)	China, Yunnan	NC049055	MZ497219
Ligusticopsis involucrate	PC2018101905 (SZ); DB14	China, Yunnan	NC049054	MT974014
Ligusticopsis modesta	L081903 (SZ); L08190301 (SZ)	China, Yunnan	OL547615	OL600822
Ligusticopsis pubescens1	LCK2020817001	China, Yunnan	ON872189	ON870396
Ligusticopsis pubescens2	LCK2020817002	China, Yunnan	_	ON870397
Ligusticopsis pubescens3	LCK2020817003	China, Yunnan	_	ON870398
Ligusticopsis rechingeriana	L081103 (SZ); L081103 (SZ)	China, Yunnan	MZ491175	MZ497220
Ligusticopsis scapiformis	RT2019082001 (SZ); CT9	China, Sichuan	NC049057	MT974012
Ligusticopsis wallichiana	LD081506 (SZ); LD08150601 (SZ)	China, Xizang	OL547616	OL600823
Ligusticum delavayi	RT2019100301 (SZ); L08110501 (SZ)	China, Yunnan	NC049052	OL600824
Ligusticum jeholense	–; LGB1	China, Liaoning; China, Beijing	MT561037	KJ999437
Ligusticum sinense	-; Ge131139	China, Sichuan; China, Guangdong	NC038088	MH712648
Ligusticum tenuissimum	13I-08; JKTM-1-000065	Korea, Cheongju-si; Korea, Anyang-myeon	NC029394	KP058314
Ligusticum thomsonii	RT2019082301 (SZ); CJ (SZ)	China, Sichuan	MT409619	MT974009
Meeboldia yunnanensis	-; G18071908	China, Yunnan	MK993275	MN688997
Peucedanum ampliatum	JQP19082505 (SZ); NASLQX022	China, Shanxi; –	OK336475	JF977799
Peucedanum delavayi	SZ YY 062105; YY062105 (SZ)	_	EU418386	MT843765
Peucedanum japonicum	LCK2020001 (SZ); sb1	China, Sichuan; –	OK336477	EU224273
Peucedanum medicum	LCK2020004 (SZ); SZ66876	China, Guangxi; –	OK336473	HQ256686
Peucedanum praeruptorum	-; SZ ZXM 001	_	MN016968	EU418383

**Table 2.** Comparison of morphological characteristics between *Peucedanum pubescens* and the species of *Ligusticapsis*.

Characteristics	P. pubescens	L. rechingeriana L. involucrata	L. involucrata	L. hispida	L. integrifolia L. brachyloba	L. brachyloba	L. modesta	L. capillacea	L. scapiformis	L. wallichiana
Stem (base)	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous	Fibrous
	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant	remnant
Leaves	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,	Pinnate,
	triangular-	oblong-ovate	oblong-ovate	lanceolate	oblong-ovate	triangular-	oblong-ovate	oblong-ovate	oblong-	broadly ovate
	ovate					ovate			lanceolate	
Ultimate segments	Obovate	Ovate	Linear	Linear	Oblong-ovate	Oblong-ovate	Linear or	Obovate	Ovate	Linear
of leaves					or lanceolate	or lanceolate	lanceolate			
Bracts	Pinnate and	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate and	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate
	linear coexist				linear coexist					
Bracteoles	linear-	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate and	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate	Pinnate and
	lanceolate				linear coexist					linear coexist
Mericarp surface	Hispid	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth	Smooth
Mericarp shape	ovate or	Elliptic to ovate	Elliptic	Elliptic	Elliptic to	Elliptic	Elliptic to	Ovate	Elliptic to	Elliptic
	obovate to orbicular				ovate		oblong		ovate	
Calyx teeth	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous	Conspicuous
Dorsal	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong
compression									1	
Median rib shape	Filiform	Filiform	Filiform	Filiform	Filiform	Keeled	Filiform	Filiform	Filiform	Keeled
Vittae each furrow	2–3	1–3	1–3	1–3	1–3	2–3	3–4	1–3	1–4	1–3
Commissural	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	4–6	9
vittae										

leaf blade in outline (Fig. 3C, D) (vs. oblong-ovate or oblong-lanceolate), pinnate and linear coexist bracts (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate), linear-lanceolate bracteoles (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate) and hispid mericarp surface (Fig. 3G, H) (vs. smooth). *Peucedanum pubescens* can be distinguished from *L. wallichiana* (DC.) Pimenov et Kljuykov in having pinnate and linear coexist bracts (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate), linear-lanceolate bracteoles (Fig. 3F) (vs. pinnate and linear coexist), ovate or obovate to orbicular mericarp shape (Fig. 3G) (vs. elliptic), hispid mericarp surface (Fig. 3G, H) (vs. smooth), 2–3 vittae in each furrow (Fig. 3H) (vs. 1–3) and filiform median rib shape (Fig. 3G, H) (vs. keeled).

## Plastome feature of Peucedanum pubescens


The plastome of *Peucedanum pubescens* is a typically quadripartite structure, including a large single copy region (LSC), a small single copy region (SSC), and a pair of inverted repeat regions (IR) (Fig. 1). The overall size of plastome is 148,260 bp, and that of the LSC, IR, and SSC are 91,819 bp, 19,411 bp, and 17,619 bp, respectively. GC content analysis shows that the overall GC content is 37.0%, and the IR regions (43.8%) are higher than LSC (35.9%) and SSC (30.9%). The whole plastid genome contains 129 genes including 36 tRNAs, 8 rRNAs, and 85 protein-coding genes.

## Phylogenetic analyses

The phylogenetic trees based on plastome CDs and ITS were given in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. Both tree topologies strongly supported that *Peucedanum pubescens* nested in the genus *Ligusticopsis* (PP = 1.00 & BS = 100%; PP = 0.99 & BS = 88%). Although the phylogenetic position of this species could not be resolved in ITS tree, phylogenetic tree constructed based on plastome CDs showed that *Peucedanum pubescens* was sister to the clade that included the species *L. rechingeriana* (type species of the genus *Ligusticopsis*) and *L. involucrata* with high support (PP = 1.00 & BS = 99%).

#### Discussion

Peucedanum sensu stricto and Ligusticopsis both belong to the Selineae tribe of Apiaceae, and members of these two genera are similar in the dorsally compressed fruits with filiform dorsal ribs, and winged marginal ribs (Spalik et al. 2004; Sheh and Watson 2005; Li et al. 2022), but the former genus can be distinguished significantly from the latter by having ternate leaves, linear-subulate, caducous or lacking bracts, one vitta in a furrow and two vittae in commissure in mericarp (Kadereit and Bittrich 2018), while the latter can also be distinguished from the former by possessing pinnate leaves, pinnate bracts, numerous vittae in each furrow and in commissure (Li et al. 2022). Peucedanum pubescens is more similar to the genus Ligusticopsis in having pinnate leaves, linear and pinnate coexisting bracts, numerous vittae in each furrow and in commissure (Table 2), rather than Peucedanum sensu stricto. This result was further



**Figure 1.** Plastome map of *Peucedanum pubescens*.

supported by the molecular phylogenetic analyses that *Peucedanum pubescens* nested in *Ligusticopsis*. As a result, *Peucedanum pubescens* is here transferred to *Ligusticopsis* as an independent species and a new combination in *Ligusticopsis* made, so that this genus now includes ten recognized species. The species is easily distinguished from other members of *Ligusticopsis* by the hispid fruit and linear-lanceolate bracteoles.

#### Taxonomic treatment

*Ligusticopsis pubescens* (Hand.-Mazz.) J.J.Deng, C.K.Liu & X.J.He, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77307802-1 Figs 2, 3


Basionym. Peucedanum pubescens Hand.-Mazz. (1933: 728).

**Type.** China. Yunnan centralis: In regionis calide temperatae ad orientem fluminis Dsolin-ho, declivibus siccis inter vicos Mabou schan et Bölu, ad elevationem 1900–2000 m, 9 November 1916, Handel-Mazzetti 13043 (lectotype: WU! (WU0029560); isolectotypes: E (E00002620), W!).

**Description.** Perennials. Plants 30–70 cm, densely pubescent throughout. Stem solitary, hollow, prominent striated protrusions, branches few, short and stout, base densely clothed with fibrous leaf remains. Basal leaves few; petioles with broadly scarious-margined sheaths; leaf blade triangular-ovate in outline, 8–10 × 8–10 cm, 1–2-pinnate, pinnae sessile or subsessile; ultimate segments obovate, 1–4.5 × 0.8–2 cm, rather thick, both surfaces tomentose, more densely so on abaxial nerves, coarsely serrate or crenate, base cuneate or truncate. Leaves reduced upwards, uppermost very small, 3-lobed or toothed, petioles wholly sheathing. Synflorescence subcorymbosely branched; umbels 2.5–4 cm across; peduncles angled; bracts 6 to 8, pinnate and linear coexist, hispid throughout; rays 10 to 15, subequal, 1–2 cm; bracteoles 5 to 7, linear-lanceolate, longer than flowers; umbellules ca. 10-flowered. Calyx teeth conspicuous, subulate. Petals white, stylopodium conical, styles long, ca. 2 mm. Fruit ovate or obovate to orbicular, ca. 4 × 3 mm,



Figure 2. Peucedanum pubescens A isolectotype (E00002620) B lectotype (WU0029560).



**Figure 3.** Peucedanum pubescens **A** habit **B** root **C** basal leaf **D** middle leaf **E** middle leaf with scarious-margined sheaths **F** bracts and bracteoles **G** dorsal view of mericarp **H** transverse section of mericarp. Scale bars: 0.5 mm (**G**, **H**).

hispid; strong dorsal compression, vittae large, 2–3 in each furrow, 6 on commissure. Seed face plane.

Phenology. Flowering and fruiting: August to October.

Vernacular name. Máo qián hú (Chinese pronunciation), 毛前胡 (Chinese name).


**Distribution and habitat.** This species is endemic to China and distributed in Yunnan (Lufeng, Luquan, Wuding) and Sichuan (Huili, Miyi) provinces. It grows in alpine meadows, elevation 1900–3000 m.

**Diagnosis.** *Ligusticopsis pubescens* significantly differs from other *Ligusticopsis* species by linear-lanceolate bracteoles and hispid fruit.


**Additional specimen examined.** CHINA. Sichuan: Huili, Hongge, 2200 m, 12 October 1958, *Z. He*, *S.G. Tang* & *B.Q. Li* 11593 (NAS); Panzhihua, Baishapo, 26°35'17"N, 101°59'1"E, 1854 m, 17 August 2021, *C.K. Liu LCK2020817001* (SZ).

## Key to related species

1	Fruit hispid	L. pubescens
_	Fruit smooth	2
2	Bracteoles pinnate and linear coexist	
_	Bracteoles pinnate	4
3	Blade shape broadly ovate; calyx teeth linear	
_	Blade shape triangular-ovate; calyx teeth lanceolate	L. brachyloba
4	Bracts pinnate and linear coexist; petals white obcordate	. L. integrifolia
_	Bracts pinnate; petals white purplish obcordate	5
5	Commissural vittae 8	
_	Commissural vittae 4 to 6 or 6	6
6	Plants hispid throughout	7
_	Plants sparsely pilose or glabrous	8
7	Rays extremely elongated, elongate up to 24 cm; calyx teeth l	inear
		L. hispida
_	Rays subequal, (1–)3 cm; calyx teeth lanceolate	L. capillacea
8	Stem unbranched; vittae per furrow 1 to 4, commissural vitta	ne 4 to 6
		. L. scapiformis
_	Stem usually branched; vittae per furrow1 to 3, commissural	vittae 6 <b>9</b>
9	Ultimate leaf segments oblong-ovate; mericarp elliptic	. L. involucrate
_	Ultimate leaf segments ovate; mericarp elliptic to ovate L	. rechingeriana



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree inferred from Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses based on 79 commonly shared CDs. PP/BS indicated posterior probabilities/bootstrap values.



**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic tree of *Peucedanum pubescens* inferred from Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses based on 36 ITS sequences. PP/BS indicated posterior probabilities/bootstrap values, respectively. Short line indicates values < 50%.

# **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Ziyoviddin Yusupov for his assistance in revising the English. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32170209, 32070221, 31872647), National Herbarium of China, National Herbarium resources teaching specimen database (Grant No. 2020BBFK01).

#### References

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J (2018) Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34(17): 884–890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Cieśla Ł, Skalicka-Woźniak K, Hajnos M, Hawrył M, Waksmundzka-Hajnos M (2009) Multidimensional TLC procedure for separation of complex natural mixtures spanning a wide polarity range; Application for fingerprint construction and for investigation of systematic relationships within the *Peucedanum* genus. Acta Chromatographica 21(4): 641–657. https://doi.org/10.1556/AChrom.21.2009.4.10

Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G (2017) NOVOPlasty: *De novo* assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Research 45(4): 18. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw955

- Downie SR, Watson MF, Spalik K, Katz-Downie DS (2000) Molecular systematics of Old World Apioideae (Apiaceae): Relationships among some members of tribe *Peucedaneae* sensu lato, the placement of several island-endemic species, and resolution within the apioid superclade. Canadian Journal of Botany 78(4): 506–528. https://doi.org/10.1139/b00-029
- Downie SR, Spalik K, Katz-Downie DS, Reduron JP (2010) Major clades within Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae as inferred by phylogenetic analysis of nrDNA ITS sequences. Plant Diversity and Evolution 128(1–2): 111–136. https://doi.org/10.1127/1869-6155/2010/0128-0005
- Feng T, Downie SR, Yu Y, Zhang X, Chen W, He X, Liu S (2009) Molecular systematics of *Angelica* and allied genera (Apiaceae) from the Hengduan Mountains of China based on nrDNA ITS sequences: phylogenetic affinities and biogeographic implications. Journal of Plant Research 122(4): 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-009-0238-4
- Handel-Mazzetti Heinrich RE (1933) Botanische Ergebnisse der Expedition der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien nach Sudwest-China. Symbolae Sinicae 7(3): 728.
- Kadereit JW, Bittrich V (2018) Flowering plants. Eudicots: Apiales, Gentianales (except Rubiaceae), 1st Edn. Springer, Berlin, 168 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93605-5
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(4): 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28(12): 1647–1649. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) Mega7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33(7): 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Li ZX, Guo XL, Price M, Zhou SD, He XJ (2022) Phylogenetic position of *Ligusticopsis* (Apiaceae, Apioideae): evidence from molecular data and carpological characters. AoB Plants 14 (2): 008. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plac008
- Liu CK, Lei JQ, Jiang QP, Zhou SD, He XJ (2022) The complete plastomes of seven *Peucedanum* plants: Comparative and phylogenetic analyses for the *Peucedanum* genus. BMC Plant Biology 22(1): 101. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03488-x
- Ostroumova TA, Pimenov MG, Degtjareva GV, Samigullin TH (2016) *Taeniopetalum* Vis. (Apiaceae), a neglected segregate of *Peucedanum* L. supported as a remarkable genus by morphological and molecular data. Skvortsovia 3(1): 20–44.
- Pimenov MG (2017) Updated checklist of Chinese Umbelliferae: Nomenclature, synonymy, typification, distribution. Turczaninowia 20(2): 106–239. https://doi.org/10.14258/turczaninowia.20.2.9
- Pimenov MG, Kljuykov EV, Ostroumova TA (2001) Towards a Clarification in the Taxonomy of Sino-Himalayan Species of *Selinum* L. s. l. (Umbelliferae). The Genus *Oreocome* Edgew. Willdenowia 31(1): 101–124. https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.31.31109

- Pimenov MG, Kljuykov EV, Ostroumova TA (2003) A Revision of *Conioselinum* Hoffm. (Umbelliferae) in the Old World. Willdenowia 33(2): 353–377. https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.33.33213
- Pimenov MG, Ostroumova TA, Degtjareva GV, Samigullin TH (2016) *Sillaphyton*, a new genus of the Umbelliferae, endemic to the Korean Peninsula. Botanica Pacifica 5(2): 31–41. https://doi.org/10.17581/bp.2016.05204
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14(9): 817–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817
- Qu XJ, Moore MJ, Li DZ, Yi TS (2019) PGA: A software package for rapid, accurate, and flexible batch annotation of plastomes. Plant Methods 15(1): 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0435-7
- Rambaut A, Drummond A (2015) FigTree, version 1.4.2. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/fgtree/ [Accessed 4 December 2021]
- Reduron JP, Charpin A, Pimenov MG (1997) Contribution à la nomenclature générique des Apiaceae (Ombellifères). Journal Botanique de la Societé Botanique de France 1: 91–104.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Sheh ML (1992) *Peucedanum*. In: Shan RH, Sheh ML (Eds) Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinica. Science Press, Beijing, 123–175.
- Sheh ML, Watson MF (2005) *Peucedanum* Linnaeus. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH (Eds) Flora of China (Vol 14). Science Press, Beijing and Missouri Botanic Garden Press, Saint Louis, 182–192.
- Shneyer VS, Kutyavina NG, Pimenov MG (2003) Systematic relationships within and between *Peucedanum* and *Angelica* (Umbelliferae-Peucedaneae) inferred from immunological studies of seed proteins. Plant Systematics and Evolution 236(3): 175–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-002-0239-4
- Solov'eva NM, Pimenov MG, Vasil'eva MG, Zigareva NN, Turkov VD (1985) Karyotaxonomic study of some species of *Peucedanum* (Umbelliferae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 151(1/2): 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02418022
- Spalik K, Reduron JP, Downie SR (2004) The phylogenetic position of *Peucedanum sensu lato* and allied genera and their placement in tribe Selineae (Apiaceae, subfamily Apioideae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 243(3): 189–210. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-003-0066-2
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30(9): 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Sun N, He XJ, Zhou SD (2010) Epidermal morphology of *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae) from China. Annales Botanici Fennici 47(4): 261–279. https://doi.org/10.5735/085.047.0403
- Thiers B (2015) Index herbariorum: a global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/[accessed 19 December 2021]

- Valiejo-Roman CM, Terentieva EI, Samigullin TH, Pimenov MG, Ghahremani-Nejad F, Mozaffarian V (2006) Molecular data (nrITS-sequencing) reveal relationships among Iranian endemic taxa of the Umbelliferae. Feddes Repertorium 117(5–6): 367–388. https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.200611106
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press Inc, San Diego, California, 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1
- Winter PJD, Magee AR, Phephu N, Tilney PM, Downie SR, van Wyk BE (2008) A new generic classification for African peucedanoid species (Apiaceae). Taxon 57(2): 347–364. https://doi.org/10.2307/25066009
- Zhou J, Peng H, Downie SR, Liu ZW, Gong X (2008) A molecular phylogeny of Chinese Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae inferred from nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer sequences. Taxon 57: 402–416. https://doi.org/10.2307/25066012
- Zhou J, Gong X, Downie SR, Peng H (2009) Towards a more robust molecular phylogeny of Chinese Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae: Additional evidence from nrdna its and cpDNA intron (*rpl16* and *rps16*) sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 53(1): 56–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.029
- Zhou J, Wang WC, Gong X, Liu ZW (2014) Leaf epidermal morphology in *Peucedanum* L. (Umbelliferae) from China. Acta Botanica Gallica 161(1): 21–31. https://doi.org/10.108 0/12538078.2013.862508
- Zhou J, Gao YZ, Wei J, Liu ZW, Downie SR (2020) Molecular phylogenetics of *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae) based on nrDNA ITS sequences: Rampant polyphyly, placement of the Chinese endemic species, and a much-reduced circumscription of the genus. International Journal of Plant Sciences 181(3): 306–323. https://doi.org/10.1086/706851